



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/422,324	10/21/1999	John P SENATORE		5395
34678	7590 11/22/2004		EXAMII	NER
NORMAN E. LEHRER, P.C.			WONG, ALLEN C	
1205 NORTH KINGS HIGHWAY CHERRY HILL, NJ 08034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2613	14
•		DATE MAN ED 11/22/2004	, (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/422,324	CONSOLE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Allen Wong	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply		vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 33 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a action. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <u>16 January 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers		,			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-8 have been fully read and considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the information" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There is no clear definition of "the information" in the claims other than in claim 5, the recording information. Applicant is strongly urged to further clarify "the information" in claim 6 by either adding "recorded" to the term "the information". Otherwise, the examiner will maintain the 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph rejection on claim and render claim 6 indefinite. Even if the applicant amends claim 6, the examiner has the limitation covered with prior art because claim 6 is not new, novel or inventive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2613

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mazzilli (6,333,759).

Regarding claim 4, Mazzilli discloses an observation and recording system for a motor vehicle (see title and abstract) comprising:

camera means carried by the motor vehicle (col.2, ln.63 to col.3, ln.2; camera means with a 360° range for video recording everything around the camera housing 5), said camera means being directed at a road in front of the vehicle and at a driver of the motor vehicle (col.2, ln.63 to col.3, ln.2; camera means with a 360° range for video recording everything around the camera housing 5 including the interior of the vehicle, where the driver is located at the interior of the vehicle, and the exterior of the vehicle by video recording through the windshield of the vehicle to obtain the images being directed at a road in front of the vehicle); and

means for recording images of the road in front of the vehicle and of the driver of the motor vehicle observed by said camera means (col.2, In.63 to col.3, In.2; the

Art Unit: 2613

camera means, that consists of plural cameras 11, has a 360° range for video recording everything around the camera housing 5, including the interior of the vehicle, where the driver is located at the interior of the vehicle, and the exterior of the vehicle by video recording through the windshield of the vehicle to obtain the images being directed at a road in front of the vehicle, and that a VCR 18 of fig.1 is used for recording the images obtained by the camera means).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mazzilli (6,333,759) in view of Miller (4,093,364).

Regarding claim 5, Mazzilli discloses the means for recording information from the interior of the vehicle (col.2, ln.63 to col.3, ln.2; the camera means, that consists of plural cameras 11, has a 360° range for video recording everything around the camera housing 5, including the interior of the vehicle, where the driver is located at the interior of the vehicle). Mazzilli does not specifically disclose the means for recording information from the odometer and the speedometer of the vehicle. However, Miller teaches that the camera can observe and record the information from the speedometer (col.2, ln.14-19; camera is adjusted to face the speedometer). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Miller's

Art Unit: 2613

camera facing the speedometer into Mazzilli's vehicle observation and recording system for adjusting the camera to face the odometer as well for the same purpose of accurately obtaining all the pertinent and necessary information in a crime scene so that the arresting officer can properly use the recorded information of the encounters with the criminals and perpetrators (col.1, In.15-22).

Regarding claim 6, Mazzilli does not disclose the information is provided by the camera means. However, Miller discloses the information is provided by the camera means (col.2, ln.14-19; camera is adjusted to face the speedometer). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Miller's camera facing the speedometer into Mazzilli's vehicle observation and recording system for adjusting the camera to face the odometer as well for the same purpose of accurately obtaining all the pertinent and necessary information in a crime scene so that the arresting officer can properly use the recorded information of the encounters with the criminals and perpetrators (col.1, ln.15-22).

9. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mazzilli (6,333,759) in view of Watkins (6,215,518).

Regarding claim 7, Mazzilli does not specifically disclose a jack. However, Watkins teaches the use of a jack (fig.1, note element 20 and element 22 are used together to equivalently function as a jack for connecting a video camera 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazzilli and Watkins, as a whole, for conveniently permitting the police to obtain important audio and video information during a crime scene, pullover and

approaching suspicious individuals to provide evidence of any wrongdoings by perpetraders (Watkins col.2, In.40-43).

Regarding claim 8, Mazzilli does not specifically disclose including a portable recording device connected to the jack. However, Watkins teaches the inclusion of a portable recording device connected to the jack (fig.1, note video camera 14 is a portable recording device, and that camera 14 is connected to the jack, formed by elements 20 and 22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazzilli and Watkins, as a whole, for conveniently permitting the police to obtain important audio and video information during a crime scene, pullover and approaching suspicious individuals to provide evidence of any wrongdoings by perpetraders (Watkins col.2, In.40-43).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (703) 306-5978. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2613

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Allen Wong Examiner Art Unit 2613

AW 11/18/04

CHRIS KFLLEY

SUPERVISOR: PALOWI EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600